

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,688	04/16/2004	Zvi Bleier	148/8X3CIP	9580
27538 75	590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
KAPLAN & GILMAN , L.L.P. 900 ROUTE 9 NORTH			SHAFER, RICKY D	
WOODBRIDGE, NJ 07095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872 DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/826,688	BLEIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 March 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·	x parte quayle, 1900 O.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or example. 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/826,688 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a roof mirror assembly comprising first and second mirror panels, at least one mounting block having at least one opening and at least one mount pin received within said at least one opening, classified in class 359, subclass 855.
- II. Claims 15-19, drawn to a roof mirror assembly comprising first and second mirror panels with particular mirror panels details (first and second ends of each mirror panel being proximate to each other), at least one mounting block and another structure, classified in class 359, subclass 855.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because of the omission of the particular mirror details (first and second ends of each mirror panel being proximate to each other). The subcombination has separate utility such as a mirror assembly without at least one mounting block having at least one opening and/or at least one mounting pin.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, i.e. the particular mirror arrangement details, the particular mounting block details...etc., restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper. Moreover, the search for Group I would require a search in class 359/871 and/or 248/477, which would not be required for Group II, and the search require for Group II would require a search in class 359/850 which would not be required for Group I.

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A). The roof mirror assembly depicted by Fig. 9;
 - B). The roof mirror assembly depicted by Fig. 13;
 - C). The roof mirror assembly depicted by Fig. 14;
 - D). The roof mirror assembly depicted by Fig. 16; and
 - E). The roof mirror assembly depicted by Fig. 18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, several claims are generic to several different species.

In addition, this application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1). A mounting block having an opening for receiving a pin extending there through; and
- 2). A mounting block without an opening for receiving a pin extending there through.

Applicant is additionally required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed mounting block species consistent with the elected roof mirror assembly stated above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,688

Art Unit: 2872

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

May 29, 2005

RICKY D. SHAFER
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2507 2872

Page 5